

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/251,988	BEAMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jermele M. Hollington	2829
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to <u>RCE filed on August 22, 2006</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>7,10,41-43,49,50 and 58-60</u> .		
3.		
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amenda	e

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Interference

In MPEP 2301.03, it states: "A claim of one inventor can be said to interfere with the 1. claim of another inventor if they each have a patentable claim to the same invention. The Office practice and the case law define "same invention" to mean patentably indistinct inventions. Case v. CPC Int 'l, Inc., 730 F.2d 745, 750, 221 USPQ 196, 200 (Fed. Cir. 1984); Aelony v. Arni, 547 F.2d 566, 570, 192 USPO 486, 489-90 (CCPA 1977); Nitz v. Ehrenreich, 537 F.2d 539, 543, 190 USPO 413, 416 (CCPA 1976); Ex parte Card, 1904 C.D. 383, 384-85 (Comm'r Pats. 1904). If the claimed invention of either party is patentably distinct from the claimed invention of the other party, then there is no interference-in-fact. Nitz v. Ehrenreich, 537 F.2d 539, 543, 190 USPO 413, 416 (CCPA 1976). 37 CFR 41.203(a) states the test in terms of the familiar concepts of obviousness and anticipation. Accord Eli Lilly & Co. v. Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Wa., 334 F.3d 1264, 1269-70, 67 USPQ2d 1161, 1164-65 (Fed. Cir. 2003) (affirming the Office's interpretive rule). Identical language in claims does not guarantee that they are drawn to the same invention. Every claim must be construed in light of the application in which it appears. 37 CFR 41.200(b). Claims reciting means-plus-function limitations, in particular, might have different scopes depending on the corresponding structure described in the written description." Keep also in mind that 37 CFR 41.203 states: "(a) Interfering subject matter. An interference exists if the subject matter of a claim of one party would, if prior art, have anticipated or rendered obvious the subject matter of a claim of the opposing party and vice versa."

Base on the above statement, the examiner believes that there is no interference between the claims of the present invention and claims 1-3 of U.S. Patent 6,033,935 since they do not

have a patentable claim to the same invention nor is it obvious to use the above patent taken alone or in combination with another reference. Further, the filing date of the above patent will not qualified for any 102 or 103 rejections.

Page 3

Since the examiner is taking a position that there is no interference, the following is being applied.

Terminal Disclaimer

2. The terminal disclaimer filed on Dec. 12, 2004 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of any patent granted on Application No. 10/145,661 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

3. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows: In the amending specification filed on Oct. 31, 2005 these are the following changes:

- a) On page 2, 2nd paragraph, line 3, changing "09/641,667" to --08/641, 667-- to correct the application number, and deleting the last two lines after "US Patent 5,785,538",
- b) On page 2, 4th paragraph, line 2, after "1996" add --now abandoned--, last line change "now abandoned;" to --now abandoned,-- and adding after that --which is a continuation-in part of US Application Serial No. 08/300,620 filed on September 2, 1994 now issues as US Patent

Application/Control Number: 09/251,988 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

5,531,022, which is a division of US Application Serial No. 07/963,346 filed on October 19, 1992 now issues as US Patent 5,371,654;",

- c) On page 2, last paragraph, line 2, after "March 11, 1999" adding --now issue as US Patent 6,528,984--,
- d) On page 3, 2nd paragraph, line 2, after "March 11, 1999" adding --now issue as US Patent 6,452,406--,
 - e) On page 3, 3rd paragraph, line 2, after "November 20, 1996" adding --now abandoned--
- f) On page 3, 4th paragraph, line 2, after "August 10, 201" adding --now issue as US Patent 6,722,032--, and in line 6, after "March 12, 1996" adding --now issue as US Patent 5,811,982--, and
- g) On page 3, 5th paragraph, line 3, changing "08/752,733 filed cr6nDecember 12, 1997" to –08/527,733 filed on September 13, 1995--, and deleting last two lines after "US Patent 5810607".

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 7, 10, 41-43, 49-51, and 58-60 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: regarding claims 7, 10, 41-43, 49-51 and 58-60, the primary reason for the allowance of the claims is due to the filing of a terminal disclaimed, which extend beyond the expiration date of any patent granted on Application No. 10/145,661 as stated above.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Art Unit: 2829

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jermele M. Hollington whose telephone number is (571) 272-1960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:00 EST) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ha Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jermele M. Hollington Primary Examiner Art Unit 2829

JMH November 8, 2006